

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/337,538 06/22/99 PAVELSKI

F 52817.000097

029315 TM02/1003
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPE
ONE FOUNTAIN SQUARE
11911 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 400
RESTON VA 20190

EXAMINER

TRAN. M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

DATE MAILED:

10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/337,538	PAVELSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mylinh T Tran	2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 1999.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

20) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because: in figure 1 and 1A, boxes 104a-104d should be labeled with appropriate descriptive matter. Correction is required.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

On line 5, "wherein" should be avoided.

On line 8, "for example" should be avoided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Cave
10/11/01

Claims 1-²⁸22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kraus et al [US. 6,266,684].

As to claims 1, 8, 15, and 22, Kraus et al. discloses A system for enabling a user to create a frameset arrangement for a view comprising: a predefined frameset arrangement presentation object that presents a plurality of predefined frameset arrangements to a user through a graphical user interface (column 2, lines 56-64), a predefined frameset selection object that enables a user to select a predefined frameset arrangement through the graphical user interface (column 1, lines 52-62 and column 6, lines 9-22), and view presentation object that present a view to the user having the predefined frameset arrangement selected (column 6, lines 32-38).

As to claims 2, 9, 16, and 23, Kraus et al. shows a frame number specification object that enables a user to specify the number of frames in the predefined frameset arrangement (figure 9-11, column 8, lines 42-55).

As to claims 3, 10, 17, and 24, Kraus et al. also shows the predefined frameset arrangement presentation object presents the predefined frameset arrangement having the number of frames specified by the user (column 1, lines 16-30).

As to claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18, 19, 25 and 26, Kraus et al. teaches the predefined frameset arrangement presentation object presents icons, each icon representing a predefined frameset arrangement (column 5, lines 62-67 and column 6, lines 1-21).

As to claims 6, 13, 20 and 27, Kraus et al. also teaches the predefined frameset arrangement comprises specification of the size of each frame (column 2, lines 2, lines 61-67 and column 3, lines 1-9).

As to claims 7, 14, 21 and 28, Kraus et al. discloses the predefined frameset arrangement comprises specification of the location of each frame within the view (column 4, lines 31-42 and column 5, lines 1-30).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communications or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Mylinh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at the number (703) 308-3116. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit: 2173



JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100